

A Practical Optional Type System for Clojure

Ambrose Bonnaire-Sergeant

Statically typed vs. Dynamically typed

- Traditional distinction
- Dynamically typed
 - eg. Javascript, Ruby, Python
 - No type checking at compile time
- Statically typed
 - eg. Java, C, Haskell
 - Performs type checking at compile time

Statically typed

- +ve
 - Helps avoid common errors
- -ve
 - Type checking is mandatory

Dynamically typed

- +ve
 - More flexible idioms are possible
- -ve
 - Fewer errors caught at compile time



Clojure

- Released October 2007 by Hickey
- Dynamically typed
- Emphasis on immutability, functional programming
- Implementations for
 - Java Virtual Machine (Clojure)
 - Common Language Runtime (ClojureCLR)
 - Javascript virtual machines (ClojureScript)

Motivation

- Higher-order programming styles
- Static type systems can help verify this code as correct
- No similar tools in Clojure ecosystem

Typed Racket

- Typed sister language of Racket
- Understands many Racket idioms
- Emphasis on module-by-module porting of untyped code
- Requires fundamental differences to standard static type systems (like Java's, C's) to support existing idioms
 - Needs to express more precise invariants
 - Union types, intersection types, *occurrence typing*

Classifying optional type systems

- Different programmers have different concepts of *types* and *type systems*
- Reynolds (2002) and Pfenning (2008) distinguish between *intrinsic* and *extrinsic* type systems

Intrinsic type systems

- Traditional type systems
- Types determined at *compile time* define a runtime semantics
 - ie. programs must pass the type checker to be meaningful
- eg. Java, C, Haskell, ML

Extrinsic type systems

- Runtime semantics do not depend on static type checker
- Can be considered an “extra” layer of checking
- eg. SML CIDRE, by Davies (2005)
 - Adds “refinement-types” to Standard ML
- Optional type systems are *extrinsic* type systems

Typed Clojure

- An optional type system for Clojure
 - Write Clojure code as normal
 - Add types when helpful, while preserving style
- Largely based on lessons learnt from Typed Racket
 - With important additions supporting Clojure idioms
- Intended for everyday use by Clojure programmers

Example - Maybe Monad

```
(defmonad maybe-m
  [m-zero    nil
   m-result  (fn m-result-maybe [v] v)
   m-bind    (fn m-bind-maybe [mv f]
               (if (nil? mv)
                   nil
                   (f mv)))
   ...
  ])
```

Example - Maybe Monad

```
(defmonad maybe-m
  [m-zero    nil
   m-result  (fn m-result-maybe [v] v)
   m-bind    (fn m-bind-maybe [mv f]
               (if (nil? mv)
                   nil
                   (f mv)))
   ...
  ])
```

Example - Maybe Monad

```
(defmonad maybe-m
  [m-zero    nil
   m-result  (fn m-result-maybe [v] v)
   m-bind    (fn m-bind-maybe [mv f]
               (if (nil? mv)
                   nil
                   (f mv)))
   ...
  ])
```

Occurrence typing

```
(ann clojure.core/nil? [Any -> boolean  
                        :filters {:then (is nil 0)  
                                :else  (! nil 0)}])
```

Monads

```
(ann maybe-m (MonadPlusZero
              (TFn [[x :variance :covariant]]
                    (U nil x))))

(defmonad maybe-m
 [m-zero      nil
  m-result    (ann-form
               (fn m-result-maybe [v] v)
               (All [x]
                    [x -> (U nil x)]))
  m-bind      (ann-form
               (fn m-bind-maybe [mv f]
                 (if (nil? mv) nil (f mv)))
               (All [x y]
                    [(U nil x) [x -> (U nil y)] -> (U nil y)]))
  ...
  ])
```


Contributions

- Prototype type checker for Clojure based on Typed Racket
- Novel use of occurrence typing for Java interoperability
 - *null* is directly expressible as a static type, made possible by occurrence typing
- Show how a combination of intersection types and occurrence typing can type check common usages of Clojure's *sequence abstraction*
- Accommodate Clojure's idiomatic usage of hash-maps with *heterogeneous map types*
- Identify the main future issues to typing Clojure code

Implementation

- Majority of the effort was spent programming the type checker
- Approx. 9,300 lines implementation
 - Bidirectional checking ~2000 lines
 - Occurrence typing ~2000 lines
 - Typed Racket port
 - Variable-arity polymorphism ~1000 lines
 - Most complicated part (mostly involved porting from Typed Racket)
- Annotates some core Clojure libraries

Experiments

- Monad library
 - Almost all monad, monad transformer, monad function definitions ported
 - Motivated an extension with type functions (functions at the type level)
- Java Interoperability
 - Ported a function that uses reflection
 - Complicated invariants with respect to *null*

Future work

- Blame calculus
 - Improves errors when interfacing with untyped code
- Multimethods
- Prove soundness of the type system
 - eg. types are preserved during evaluation
 - Designed to be sound, not formally proven yet
 - Likely using standard techniques from programming language research

Conclusion

- Interest exists
 - Talk will be given at Clojure Conj 2012
 - Google Summer of Code 2012 project for Clojure
- Appears to be both practical and useful

Demo